

CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION

2. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

- 2.1. Comparison of methods and basic nuclear data
 - 2.1.1. Cell-burnup calculations
 - 2.1.1.1. Definition of the fuel cell and tasks
 - 2.1.1.2. Benchmark results
 - 2.1.2. Lattice calculations for LWR
 - 2.1.2.1. Benchmark definition and tasks
 - 2.1.2.2. Benchmark results
- 2.2. Evaluation of the potential of LWRs, HTRs, HWRs and MSRs for plutonium incineration
 - 2.2.1. Incentives
 - 2.2.2. Results
 - 2.2.3. Conclusions
- 2.3. Effect of Pu-incineration on the toxicity of disposed nuclear waste
 - 2.3.1. Incentives and database
 - 2.3.2. Toxicity benchmark
 - 2.3.3. Possible reduction of the radio-waste toxicity
 - 2.3.4. Results and conclusions
- 2.4. Conclusions

REFERENCES TO SECTION 2

3. INDIVIDUAL CONTRIBUTIONS OF THE VARIOUS COUNTRIES

- 3.1. China
 - 3.1.1. Study of thorium fuel cycles burning weapons- and civil-grade plutonium in the MODULE-HTR
 - 3.1.1.1. Introduction
 - 3.1.1.2. HTR Module and calculation
 - 3.1.1.3. Calculation results
 - 3.1.1.3.1. Burning weapons-grade plutonium
 - 3.1.1.3.2. Burning civil-grade plutonium
 - 3.1.2. Physics studies of energy production and plutonium burning in Pebble-bed type High Temperature gas cooled Module Reactor
 - 3.1.2.1. Introduction
 - 3.1.2.2. Calculation model of HTMR
 - 3.1.2.3. Calculation results

REFERENCES TO SECTION 3.1

- 3.2. Germany
 - 3.2.1. Introduction
 - 3.2.2. Optimization of plutonium incineration in the modular HTR
 - 3.2.2.1. Design of the reactor and of the fuel element
 - 3.2.2.2. Methods and computer codes
 - 3.2.2.3. Fuelling strategy
 - 3.2.2.4. Results
 - 3.2.2.4.1. Mass balance of the fuel
 - 3.2.2.4.2. Temperature coefficients
 - 3.2.3. Effect of plutonium incineration on the long-lived waste toxicity

3.2.4. Summary and conclusions

REFERENCES TO SECTION 3.2

3.3. India

3.3.1. Introduction

3.3.2. Benchmarks

3.3.2.1. Cell burnup calculations

3.3.2.2. Lattice calculations for LWR

3.3.3. Evaluation of the potential of HWRs for plutonium incineration

3.3.3.1. Results of calculations

3.3.4. Assessment of the effect of plutonium incineration on waste toxicity

3.3.4.1. Benchmark calculation for typical LWR fuel

3.3.4.2. Assessment of the effect of plutonium burning on the waste toxicity

3.3.5. Details of reactor calculations for plutonium burner (PHWR)

3.4. Israel and USA

3.4.1. Introduction

3.4.1.1. Weapons-grade plutonium case

3.4.1.1.1. Results of calculations (equilibrium cycle)

3.4.1.1.2. Discussion: weapons-grade plutonium case

3.4.1.2. Reactor-grade plutonium case

3.4.1.2.1. Discussion: reactor-grade plutonium case

3.4.1.3. Reactivity control issues

3.4.2. Toxicity calculations

3.4.2.1. RTF plutonium indicator

3.4.2.2. Methodology

3.4.2.3. Summary of toxic hazards

3.4.2.4. Discussion

REFERENCES TO SECTION 3.4

3.5. Japan

3.5.1. Introduction

3.5.2. Reactor model

3.5.3. Calculation of fuel depletion

3.5.4. Calculation of toxicity

3.5.5. Conclusion

REFERENCES TO SECTION 3.5

3.6. Republic of Korea

3.6.1. Potential of a thorium-based fuel cycle for 900 MW_{el} PWR core to incinerate plutonium

3.6.1.1. Introduction

3.6.1.2. Design data for conceptual PWR core

3.6.1.3. Description of analyses methods

3.6.1.4. Fuel management scheme

3.6.1.5. Nuclear characteristics of conceptual cores

3.6.1.6. Change of heavy metal nuclide mass

3.6.1.7. Results and discussion

3.6.2. Assessment of the effect of plutonium incineration on the long-lived waste toxicity

3.6.2.1. Calculation procedure

3.6.2.1.1. Scenario 1: Conventional UO₂ only system

3.6.2.1.2. Scenario 2: Conventional UO₂ + (Th+Pu)O₂
(as plutonium burner) combined system

3.6.2.1.3. Scenario 3: Conventional UO₂ + MOX
(as plutonium burner) combined system

3.6.2.2. Toxicity results for plutonium incineration systems

REFERENCES TO SECTION 3.6

3.7. Russian Federation

3.7.1. Calculations on the principal neutronics characteristics of the VVER-1000 reactor loaded with PuO₂ – ThO₂ fuel based on weapons-grade plutonium

3.7.1.1. Reactor design description

3.7.1.2. Codes used in neutronics calculations

3.7.1.3. Results of calculations

3.7.2. Calculations of the principal neutronics characteristics of the VVER-1000 reactor loaded with PuO₂ – ThO₂ fuel based on reactor-grade plutonium

3.7.3. Assessment of the effect of plutonium-burning on the waste toxicity

REFERENCES TO SECTION 3.7

3.8. The Netherlands

3.8.1. Introduction

3.8.2. Calculation method

3.8.3. Results of the benchmark calculation

3.8.3.1. The infinite multiplication factor: K_{∞}

3.8.3.2. Isotopic composition of actinides

3.8.3.3. Total neutron flux

3.8.3.4. Microscopic cross sections

3.8.3.5. Average energy per fission

3.8.4. Numerical results of the benchmark

3.8.4.1. The infinite multiplication factor: K_{∞}

3.8.4.2. Isotopic composition of actinides

3.8.4.3. Total neutron flux

3.8.4.4. Microscopic cross sections

3.8.4.5. Average energy per fission

REFERENCES TO SECTION 3.8

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS