

Chairman's Conclusion on Item 4(c)

Nuclear Verification

Implementation of the NPT safeguards agreement in the Republic of Korea

We can now return to Item 4(c), Implementation of the NPT Safeguards Agreement in the Republic of Korea. There are no further speakers on the list.

All comments will be duly reflected in the summary record. On the basis of yesterday's discussion, I believe that I express the sense of the Board if I state the following:

“The Board took note of, and expressed appreciation for, the Director General's report contained in document GOV/2004/84.

“The Board shared the Director General's view that given the nature of the nuclear activities described in his report, the failure of the Republic of Korea to report these activities in accordance with its safeguards agreements is of serious concern.

“At the same time, the Board noted that the quantities of nuclear material involved have not been significant, and that to date there is no indication that the undeclared experiments have continued.

“The Board welcomed the corrective actions taken by the Republic of Korea, and the active cooperation it has provided to the Agency.

“The Board encouraged the Republic of Korea to continue its active cooperation with the Agency, pursuant to its Safeguards Agreement and Additional Protocol.

“The Board observed that the Republic of Korea has an Additional Protocol in force and that developments in the Republic of Korea demonstrate the utility of the Additional Protocol.

“The Board requested that the Director General report as appropriate.”

Is this agreeable to the Board?

It is so decided.

With the consent of the Board, I would propose that the Director General's report contained in document GOV/2004/84 be made public and placed on the Agency website.

Does the Board agree?

It is so decided.

With the consent of the Board, I would propose that the conclusion I have just read be made public and placed on the Agency website.

Does the Board agree?

It is so decided.