

# Perspective of the Chairman

*Ami Rastas*  
*Workshop chairman*

**Presented at the Workshop on  
Defining Coordinated Activities Based on Common User Considerations  
for Development and Deployment of Nuclear Power Plants for developing  
Countries**

**September 22-25, 2008, Vienna, Austria**



*Atoms for Peace: The First Half Century  
1957-2007*

# Short-term, Medium-term, Long-term

- Development is a continuous process
  - Proper results in long-term can be achieved only through continues development through short-term and medium-term.
  - A long jump to the distant future is possible only in science fiction.
- In the long-term planning, the short-term and medium-term activities have to be taken account.
- In short-term and medium-term planning, the long-term goals have to be taken into account.

# Personal observations (1/2)

- INPRO activities (before CUC) have mainly focused to a long-term perspective in accordance with its mission statement “...the development and deployment of innovative nuclear energy systems in the 21<sup>st</sup> century”.
- Many IAEA Member States (especially developing countries) would like to have concrete assistance (guidelines, tools, training etc.) for their short-term and medium-term deployment of NPPs.
- Historically, that assistance has been given by various IAEA divisions.
- CUC, its continuation activities and Technology Assessment under INPRO umbrella serve also the short-term and medium-term needs of IAEA Member States. Other INPRO deliverables (methodologies, etc.) are not very useful as such for responding short-term and medium-term needs.
- Occasionally (frequently?), there seems to be a confusion about which activities should be under INPRO umbrella.

# Personal observations (2/2)

- INPRO's role in the comparison to other IAEA divisions/programmes in the planning of short-term and medium-term activities may not always be clear. There is a potential risk for overlapping.
- Workshop like this, is a good tool for exploring the needs of Member States in general.
- Workshop like this may not be the best forum for assessing how those needs are responded organizationally (inside/outside INPRO).
- From the Member State point of you, it is essential that proper services are available. It is of secondary importance which IAEA division or programme is providing assistance.
- Large spectrum of countries regarding the time line and the status of nuclear programme deployment is a challenge.
- Financing of activities is a challenge.

# Continuation for CUC activity

- Continuation for CUC activity is highly desirable because
  - there is an urgent need for deliverables of the activity in many Member States (not only in developing countries) as shown in this workshop, and
  - it is, for the moment, only IAEA activity providing a forum for the TU/TH dialog.
- Continuation for CUC activity should be organised so that
  - the risk for overlapping is minimised,
  - short-term, medium-term and long-term aspects are in balance and in accordance with the needs of the Member States,
  - also IAEA Member States outside INPRO can participate in the planning and have a full benefit of the activity, and
  - it is open to governmental organizations, non-governmental organizations, multinational organizations, vendors, owners, utilities, consulting companies, research institutes and universities.

# Thank you for your attention

